Effectiveness of Spraino for preventing lateral ankle sprain injuries in indoor sports: a pilot randomised controlled trial with 510 athletes with previous ankle injuries

Filip Gertz Lysdal, Thomas Bandholm, Janne Tolstrup, Mikkel Clausen, Stephanie Mann, Pelle Petersen, Thor Grønlykke, Uwe Kersting, Eamonn Delahunt, Kristian Thorborg

Health Science and Technology, Aalborg University; Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Research—Copenhagen (PMR-C), Department of Physical and Occupational Therapy, Clinical Research Centre, and Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Amager-Hvidovre Hospital, Copenhagen University; National Institute of Public Health, University of Southern Denmark; School of Physiotherapy, Faculty of Health and Technology, University College Copenhagen; Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Research—Copenhagen (PMR-C), Department of Physical and Occupational Therapy, Clinical Research Centre, and Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Amager-Hvidovre Hospital, Copenhagen University; Section for Surgical Pathophysiology 7621, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen University; Spraino ApS; Institute of Biomechanics and Orthopaedics, German Sport University Cologne; Institute for Sport and Health, University College Dublin; Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Sports Orthopedic Research Center—Copenhagen (SORC-C), Amager-Hvidovre Hospital, Copenhagen University

Background: Lateral ankle sprains (LASs) are common in indoor sports and high shoe-surface friction is considered a risk factor for non-contact LASs. Spraino is a novel Teflon-patch that is attached to the outside of sports shoes to minimise friction at the lateral edge, which could mitigate the risk of LAS.

Purpose / Aim of Study: We aimed to determine preliminary effectiveness (incidence rate and severity) and safety (harms) of Spraino when used to prevent LAS injury among indoor sport athletes.

Materials and Methods: In this exploratory, parallel-group, two-arm pilot RCT, 510 sub-elite indoor sport athletes with a previous LAS injury were randomly allocated (1:1) to Spraino or “do-as-usual”. Allocation was concealed and the trial was outcome-assessor-blinded. Match and training exposure, LASs and associated time-loss were captured weekly via text messages. Information on harms, fear-of-injury and ankle pain were also documented.

Findings / Results: 480 participants completed the trial, reporting a total of 151 LASs, of which 96 were categorised as non-contact, and 50 as severe. All outcomes favoured Spraino with incidence rate ratios of 0.87 (95% CI, 0.62–1.23) for all LASs; 0.64 (95% CI, 0.42–0.98) for non-contact LASs; and 0.47 (95% CI, 0.25–0.88) for severe LASs. Time-loss per LAS was also lower in the Spraino group (1.8 vs 2.8 weeks, p=0.014). Six participants reported minor harms because of Spraino.

Conclusions: Compared to usual care, athletes allocated to Spraino had a reduced risk of LAS injury and reduced time-loss, with only few reports of minor harms. The next step is to test these promising risk reductions in a confirmatory RCT.