

Risk factors for revision within 1 year following osteosynthesis of a displaced femoral neck fracture

Anne Marie Nyholm¹, Henrik Palm², Håkon Sandholdt¹, Anders Troelsen¹, Kirill Gromov¹ and DFDB Collaborators ¹Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Copenhagen, Denmark ²Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Copenhagen University Hospital Bispebjerg, Copenhagen, Denmark

Introduction

The current guideline advice for osteosynthesis of displaced femoral neck fractures (dFNF) in patients younger than 70 years of age. Primary arthroplasty has been proposed

Material and Methods

654 consecutive osteosyntheses for a dFNF with parallel implants and available x-rays were collected from the Danish Fracture Database. Data included age, gender, ASA score and surgical delay.

X-rays were evaluated for





due to high failure rates following osteosynthesis.

The study purposes were to

- estimate the incidence of revision a) within 12 months for dFNF treated with osteosynthesis in Denmark.
- evaluate the effect of the age, the b) degree of displacement and bone quality on 1-year risk of revision.
- Fracture displacement and quality of reduction (displacement and angulation)
- Angulation of implants -
- Protrusion of implants into the joint (POI)
- Cortical Thickness Index (CTI). _

Fractures were divided into "Mildly displaced" (Garden II >20° posterior tilt or Garden III) and "Much displaced" (Garden IV).

Data of any relevant revision (reosteosynthesis, arthroplasty replacement or femoral head removal) or death were collected from the Civil Registration system. Follow up was 1 year.

Results

P

Mean age was 69 years. 59% were female.

A diverse de la serve de la se

Cortical thickness Index – Thickness of the cortici (white line minus black line) in relation to the diameter of the bone (white line) 10 cm below the tip of the trochanter minor (grey vertical line).

CTI = White line -black line/

white line

Demographic data on included cases

| | Revision | Death* | Total |
|--|-----------|-----------|-------|
| Number of surgeries | 124 (19%) | 117 (18%) | 654 |
| Age | | | |
| ≤50 | 5 (9%) | 1 (2%) | 54 |
| >50-≤60 | 17 (16%) | 10 (9%) | 109 |
| >60-≤70 | 65 (29%) | 25 (11%) | 227 |
| >70-≤80 | 13 (13%) | 15 (15%) | 97 |
| >80-≤90 | 18 (17%) | 30 (29%) | 104 |
| >90 | 6 (10%) | 36 (58%) | 63 |
| CTI | | | |
| <0.3 | 2 (13%) | 7 (46%) | 15 |
| 0.3-0.4 | 15 (19%) | 22 (28%) | 78 |
| 0.4-0.5 | 45 (19%) | 53 (22%) | 238 |
| 0.5-0.6 | 45 (17%) | 28 (11%) | 263 |
| >0.6 | 11 (25%) | 4 (9%) | 44 |
| Fracture displacement | | | |
| Mildly displaced | 43 (12%) | 67 (19%) | 356 |
| Much displaced | 81 (27%) | 50 (17%) | 298 |
| Quality of reduction | | | |
| Non-displaced, <10°PT | 33 (13%) | 38 (16%) | 245 |
| Displaced | 73 (23%) | 62 (19%) | 322 |
| Non-displaced, >=10°PT | 18 (21%) | 16 (19%) | 85 |
| *Some may have beeen revised prior to death. PT = posterior tilt | | | |

Conclusion

| Adjusted cox-regression analysis d | iemonstrated that |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|
|------------------------------------|-------------------|

| emale gender | (HR 1.71, CI 1.15 - 2.63) |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| irgical delay between 12-24h vs <12h | (HR 1.66, CI 1.05 - 2.63) |
| Auch displaced" fracture | (HR 3.00, CI 1.99 - 4.67) |
| sufficient reduction | (HR 1.70, CI 1.06 - 2.50) |
| DI | (HR 2.32, CI 1.03 ; 5.45) |
| | |

were significantly associated with increased risk of revision.

Patient age and the angulation of implants were not associated with revision risk.

CTI was not associated with risk of revision, but was inversely associated to risk of death (HR 0.038, CI (0.0044 - 0.33)).

19% underwent revision within 1 year.

Risk of revision was linked mainly to displacement and reduction of the fracture, with no apparent association to the age of the patient or the quality of the bone estimated by CTI.

We suggest considering primary arthroplasty in all highly displaced fractures.

